

TOYOTA AUTO FINANCE RECEIVABLES LLC

FORM ABS-15G (Disclosure of Asset-Backed Securities)

Filed 04/15/25 for the Period Ending 04/15/25

Address 6565 HEADQUARTERS DRIVE
ATTENTION: SEC REPORTING
PLANO, TX, 75024

Telephone 469-486-9013

CIK 0001131131

SIC Code 6189 - Asset-Backed Securities

Fiscal Year 03/31

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM ABS-15G
ASSET-BACKED SECURITIZER
REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 15G OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Check the appropriate box to indicate the filing obligation to which this form is intended to satisfy:

Rule 15Ga-1 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.15Ga-1) for the reporting period
_____ to _____

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): _____

Commission File Number of securitizer: _____

Central Index Key Number of securitizer: _____

Name and telephone number, including area code, of the person to
contact in connection with this filing.

Indicate by check mark whether the securitizer has no activity to report for the initial period pursuant to Rule 15Ga-1(c)(1)

Indicate by check mark whether the securitizer has no activity to report for the quarterly period pursuant to Rule 15Ga-1(c)(2)(i)

Indicate by check mark whether the securitizer has no activity to report for the annual period pursuant to Rule 15Ga-1(c)(2)(ii)

Rule 15Ga-2 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.15Ga-2)

Central Index Key Number of depositor: 0001131131

Toyota Auto Receivables 2025-B Owner Trust
(Exact name of issuing entity as specified in its charter)

Central Index Key Number of issuing entity (if applicable): 0002058316

Central Index Key Number of underwriter (if applicable): Not applicable.

Ellen L. Farrell, Esq., (469) 486-5280
Name and telephone number, including area code, of the person to
contact in connection with this filing.

Item 2.01. Findings and Conclusions of a Third Party Due Diligence Report Obtained by the Issuer.

See Report of Independent Accountants on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures, dated April 14, 2025, attached as Exhibit 99.1 to this Form ABS-15G.

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
Exhibit 99.1	Report of Independent Accountants on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures, dated April 14, 2025.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the reporting entity has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Dated: April 15, 2025

TOYOTA AUTO FINANCE RECEIVABLES LLC
(Depositor)

By: /s/ Scott Cooke
Name: Scott Cooke
Title: President and Chief Executive Officer



Report of Independent Accountants on Applying Agreed-Upon Procedures

Toyota Motor Credit Corporation
6565 Headquarters Drive
Plano, TX 75024

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We (“us” or “PwC”) have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by Toyota Motor Credit Corporation (as the engaging party), Barclays Capital Inc., BMO Capital Markets Corp, J.P. Morgan Securities LLC, Mizuho Securities USA LLC, and U.S. Bancorp Investments, Inc., who are collectively referred to herein as the “Specified Parties”, solely to assist you in connection with the accuracy of certain attributes of certain collateral assets which may be included in the issuance of asset-backed notes by Toyota Auto Receivables 2025-B Owner Trust (the “Transaction”). Toyota Motor Credit Corporation (“TMCC”, the “Company” or “Responsible Party”) is responsible for the accuracy of the attributes of the collateral assets which may be included in the Transaction.

In an agreed-upon procedures engagement, we perform specific procedures that the Specified Parties have agreed to and acknowledged to be appropriate for the intended purpose of the engagement and we report on findings based on the procedures performed. The procedures performed may not address all the items of interest to a user of this report and may not meet the needs of all users of this report and, as such, users are responsible for determining whether the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes. The Specified Parties have agreed to and acknowledged that the procedures performed are appropriate for their purposes. This report may not be suitable for any other purpose.

Procedures and Findings

In connection with the Transaction, the Specified Parties agreed on a sample size of 150 retail installment auto receivables, which the Specified Parties instructed us to select randomly from a preliminary data tape containing 73,657 retail installment auto receivables which may be sold as part of the Transaction.

We make no representations as to the adequacy of the sample size, nor do we draw any conclusions about the entire Sample Loan Data Tape (defined below), any additional receivables for the Transaction, or any other receivables in the Sample Loan Data Tape that are excluded from the Transaction.

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was not conducted for the purpose of satisfying any criteria for due diligence published by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization.

In addition, PwC should not be regarded as having in any way warranted or given any assurance as to the following items:

- The completeness, accuracy, appropriateness, quality or integrity of any of the information provided by the Responsible Party, or any other party for purposes of PwC performing the procedures agreed to by the Specified Parties. The procedures performed would not necessarily reveal any material misstatement of the amounts, balances, ratios, percentages or other relationships of the information included in the data provided to us;

*PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, 300 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10017
T: (646) 471 3000, F: (813) 286 6000, www.pwc.com/us*



- The conformity of the origination of the assets to stated underwriting or credit extension guidelines, standards, criteria or other requirements;
- The value of collateral securing such assets; and
- The compliance of the originator of the assets with federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

We have not performed any procedures with respect to the fair value of the securities being offered in the Transaction and PwC expresses no opinion on the current fair value of these securities. PwC should not be regarded as having performed any procedures other than those detailed in this report.

With respect to any terms or requirements of the prospectus or other Transaction documents that do not appear in this report, we performed no procedures and, accordingly, the procedures we performed would not ensure that any requirements are satisfied. Further, we have performed only the following agreed-upon procedures and therefore make no representations regarding the adequacy of disclosures or whether any material facts have been omitted from the prospectus or other Transaction documents.

It should be understood that we make no representations as to:

- The interpretation of Transaction documents (including, but not limited to, indenture agreements or offering documents) included in connection with our procedures;
- Your compliance with Rule 15Ga-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934;
- The reasonableness of any of the assumptions provided by the Responsible Party; and
- The adequacy of the sample size, as provided by the Responsible Party and accepted by the Specified Parties, nor do we draw any conclusions about the entire pool of retail installment auto receivables based on the sample size and results of the procedures performed.

These procedures should not be taken to supplant any additional inquiries or procedures that the Specified Parties would undertake in consideration of the Transaction.

For purposes of this AUP Report, the following definitions, unless otherwise indicated, have been adopted in presenting our procedures and findings:

- The phrase “compared” refers to the comparison of one or more data elements to underlying documentation.
 - The phrase “recalculated” refers to a recalculation of one or more data elements using a prescribed methodology and the information provided.
 - The phrase “Sample Cut-off Date” refers to February 28, 2025.
 - The phrase “Sample Loans” refers to a sample of 150 retail installment auto receivables, randomly selected by PwC from the Sample Loan Data Tape (defined below). We make no representations as to the adequacy of the sample size nor do we draw any conclusions about the entire Sample Loan Data Tape based on the sample size and results of the procedures performed.
 - The phrase “Original Number of Scheduled Payments Methodology” refers to methodology provided by the Company for identifying the “Original Number of Scheduled Payments” in the Origination System (defined below). The methodology is:
-



The “# of Payments” field is located in the *Contract Dates* section of the *Contract* tab within the “Funding View Mode” of the Origination System; and

“Original Number of Scheduled Payments” = the value in the “# of Payments” field.

- The phrase “First Payment Date” refers to the date on which the first of the recurring, equal, scheduled installments is due.
- The phrase “Maturity Date Identification Methodology” refers to methodology provided by the Company to identify the value for maturity date at origination indicated in the Loan File (defined below). The methodology is:

If the Contract specifies the date of the final payment;

Then the maturity date is the specified date of the final payment as shown on the Contract;

Otherwise the maturity date is the date that corresponds to:

- i) the First Payment Date as shown on the Contract, plus
- ii) the number of months equal to:
 - a. the number of recurring, equal, scheduled installments specified on the Contract, minus
 - b. one.

- The phrase “Vehicle Model Identification Methodology” refers to methodology provided by the Company to identify the vehicle model specified on the Sample Loan Data Tape and in the Loan File. The methodology is to use the Vehicle Model Listing (defined below) to identify the vehicle model indicated on the Sample Loan Data Tape and in the Loan File without regard to features or options (e.g., trim line packages, 4x4, etc.), and without regard to spacing, misspelling, abbreviation, hyphenation, etc.
- The phrase “Co-obligor Identification Methodology” refers to methodology provided by the Company to identify the co-obligor value indicated in the Loan File. The methodology is:

If the Loan File indicates a party acting as a co-buyer, co-obligor, guarantor, or similar role/function (indicated by the presence of a signature for a co-buyer, co-obligor or guarantor);

Then the co-obligor value indicated in the Loan File is assigned a value of “Y”;

Otherwise the co-obligor value indicated in the Loan File is assigned a value of “N”.

- The phrase “Credit Score Methodology” refers to methodology provided by the Company for calculating a borrower’s credit score (“Credit Score”) using the following fields from the Sample Loan Data Tape:

Credit Score = maximum of “PCD_APPCREDITSCOREAP1”, “PCD_APPCREDITSCOREAP2”, “PCD_APPCREDITSCOREAP3”, “PCD_APPCREDITSCORECA1”, “PCD_APPCREDITSCORECA2”, and “PCD_APPCREDITSCORECA3”.



I. Data, Information, and Documents Provided

In the course of this engagement, TMCC provided the following data, information and documents:

1. Access, on March 8, 2025 through March 14, 2025, to and screenshots from the Company's internal document management system ("DMS") and salesforce ("CEP"), which stores origination information including contracts and customer correspondence; the retail installment auto receivables servicing system (the "Primary Servicing System"), which includes loan level transaction history and performance information; and the receivable origination system (the "Origination System"). The Company represents that the Origination System, the Primary Servicing System, DMS and CEP are maintained by the Company and are their primary origination, servicing, and record keeping systems for their automobile loans.

From DMS, CEP, the Primary Servicing System, and the Origination System, as applicable, for each Sample Loan:

- (a) The retail installment sale contract with a Truth-In-Lending Disclosure section (the "Contract"),
 - (b) Any correction notices to the information contained in the Contract (the "Correction Notices") (together with the Contract, the "Loan File"),
 - (c) Certificate of title, electronic record of title, lienholder registration, application for title, application for lien, notice of recorded lien, notice of lien application, title lien statement, lien holder release form, confirmation of security interest (lien) perfection, Maryland notice of security interest filing, other state equivalent documents, or other evidence (including Secure Title reporting for electronic titling states) showing security interest in the financed vehicle (the "Title Document"),
 - (d) Screenshots from the Primary Servicing System or access to the Primary Servicing System, showing current and historical payment status including days past due categories and original loan term information (in either case, the "ILNS Data"), and
 - (e) Screenshots from the Primary Servicing System or access to the Primary Servicing System, showing transaction history including bankruptcy, repossession or rehabilitation (in either case, the "ILTH Data").
2. An Excel file and email (together, the "Vehicle Model Listing") which the Company represents, contains a mapping of vehicle models without regard to features or options (e.g., trim line packages, 4x4) and without regard to spacing, misspelling, abbreviation, hyphenation, etc.
 3. An Excel, CSV, or text file (the "Sample Loan Data Tape") containing 73,657 receivables, which the Company represents were originated on or before October 31, 2024 and includes certain attributes related to those loans as of the Sample Cut-off Date.

The data, information, and documents listed above, in this Section I, are collectively referred to as the "Data, Information, and Documents".

II. Procedures Performed



We performed the following agreed-upon procedures below. Our findings as a result of performing the procedures are reported in Exhibit I.

- A. For each Sample Loan, we compared the following information from the respective field on the Sample Loan Data Tape to the corresponding information in the Loan File applying the respective “Threshold and/or Special Instructions” below, noting exceptions if differences are greater than the threshold:

	Description	Field on Sample Loan Data Tape	Threshold and/or Special Instructions
1	Account Number	PCD_ACCT_NBR	n/a
2	Contract Date	PCD_CONTRACTDATE	15 days
3	Original Principal Balance	PCD_ORIGCOMMITMENTAMOUNT	\$5.00
4	Interest Rate as of the Sample Cut-Off Date	PCD_APR	Interest rate shown in the Truth-in-Lending Disclosure section of the Contract
5	Monthly Payment Amount	PCD_PAYMENT	\$5.00
6	Maturity Date at Origination	PCD_ORIGMATURITYDATE	15 days Maturity Date Identification Methodology
7	Vehicle Identification Number	PCD_VIN	n/a
8	State of Origination	PCD_LOANORIGINATIONSTATE	As stated in the address of the seller of the vehicle
9	New/Used	PCD_NEWUSEDPCODE	“New” if the Contract states “Demo” or “New”. If the Sample Loan Data Tape indicates “New” and the Contract states “Used” and mileage on the Contract is less than 1,000 miles, then “New”, otherwise “Used”
10	Vehicle Make	PCD_VEHICLEMAKE	“Toyota” if Contract states “Scion”
11	Vehicle Model Year	PCD_MODELYEAR	n/a
12	Original First Payment Date	FIRSTPAYMENTDUEDATE	First Payment Date definition
13	Vehicle Model	PCD_VEHICLEMODEL	Vehicle Model Identification Methodology



	Description	Field on Sample Loan Data Tape	Threshold and/or Special Instructions
14	Co-Obligor	COAPPLICANTFLAG	Co-obligor Identification Methodology

As instructed by the Company, if the aforementioned information on the Contract did not agree to the Sample Loan Data Tape, we compared such information on the Sample Loan Data Tape to other documents in the Loan File, such as the Correction Notices, using the date of such other documents to apply such document in chronological order starting with the most recently dated document.

- B. For each Sample Loan, we compared the following information from the respective field on the Sample Loan Data Tape to the corresponding information in the Origination System applying the “Threshold and/or Special Instructions” noted below:

	Description	Field on Sample Loan Data Tape	Threshold and/or Special Instructions
1	Original Number of Scheduled Payments	NUM_PMTS	Original Number of Scheduled Payments Methodology

- C. Using the Credit Score Methodology, we recalculated each Credit Score and compared the results to the values disclosed in the “TFS_FICO” field on the Sample Loan Data Tape.
- D. For each Sample Loan, we compared the name of the lien holder or assignee of a security interest stated on the Title Document to the one of the following: Toyota Motor Credit Corporation, Lexus Financial Services, Toyota Financial Services, or an acceptable variation thereof.

- E. For each Sample Loan, using the ILNS Data:

(1) We compared the values contained in the “PD-30”, “PD-60”, and “PD-90” fields to a zero value;

Or

(2) If the “PD-30”, “PD-60”, or “PD-90” fields contained a non-zero value, and the “LAST-TRN” field contained a date on or before the Sample Cut-off Date, we:

- a. Compared the value contained in the “PST-DUE” field to a zero value; or
- b. If the “PST-DUE” field contained a non-zero value, we compared (i) the difference between the Sample Cut-off Date and the date contained in the “PST-DUE” field to (ii) a value of less than 30 days;

Or

(3) If the “PD-30”, “PD-60”, or “PD-90” fields contained a non-zero value, and the “LAST-TRN” field contained a date subsequent to the Sample Cut-off Date, we compared the aggregate dollar amount of payments as of the Sample Cut-off Date to an amount greater than or equal to the aggregate amount that would be required for the Sample Loan to be less than 30 days



delinquent as of the Sample Cut-off Date. As instructed by the Company, a Sample Loan was considered to be delinquent if less than 90% of a regularly scheduled payment was received by the due date.

F. For each Sample Loan, we compared the repossession and bankruptcy status flags (“in repossession/bankruptcy” or “not in repossession/bankruptcy”) of each Sample Loan as of the Sample Cut-off Date, as shown in the ILTH Data, to a status of “not in repossession/bankruptcy” using the ILTH Data and the following methodology provided by the Company:

(1) We compared the values contained in the “DESC” field of the Primary Servicing System to values other than: “036 B”, “036 R”, “036 P”, “204 A”, “204 B”, “204 C”, or “204 D” (the “Repossession/Bankruptcy Codes”);

Or

(2) If the “DESC” field contained one or more of the Repossession/Bankruptcy Codes, we compared the values contained in the “DESC” field that occur after the most recent occurrence of one of the Repossession/Bankruptcy Codes, but on or prior to the Sample Cut-off Date, to one or more of the values: “036 X”, “204 E”, “204 F”, “204 G”, “204 H”, “204 I”, “204 J”, “204 K”, “204 L”, “204 M”, “204 N”, “204 P”, “204 Q”, “204 R”, “204 S”, or “204 V” (the “Rehabilitation Codes”).

This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. We were not engaged to, and did not conduct an audit or an examination engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion, or a review engagement, the objective of which would be the expression of a conclusion, on the accuracy of certain attributes of certain collateral assets which may be included in the Transaction. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion or conclusion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

In performing this engagement, we are required to be independent of the Responsible Party and to meet our ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements related to our agreed-upon procedures engagement.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Specified Parties (including for purposes of substantiating the Specified Parties’ “due diligence defense” under the Securities Act of 1933) and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the Specified Parties.

If a party has obtained, or has access to, this report without having executed an agreement with PwC wherein such party accepts responsibility for the appropriateness of the procedures performed (such party is herein referred to as a “Non-Specified Party”), that Non-Specified Party cannot:

- i) Rely upon this report, and any use of this report by that Non-Specified Party is its sole responsibility and at its sole and exclusive risk;
 - ii) Acquire any rights or claims against PwC, and PwC assumes no duties or obligations to such Non-Specified Party.
-



A Non-Specified Party may not disclose or distribute this report or any of the report's contents to any other party (including but not limited to electronic distribution and/or posting to a website pursuant to Rule 17G-5 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934).

The procedures enumerated above were performed as of the date of this report, and we disclaim any consideration of any events and circumstances occurring after the date of this report. Further, we have no obligation to update this report because of events occurring, or data or information coming to our attention, subsequent to the date of this report.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

April 14, 2025



Exhibit I

No exceptions were identified other than as noted in the table below.

Random ID	Procedure	Data Tape Value	Procedure Value
AA7A99FD-F3E0-4ABF-A211-AE67CD346353	A 4	8.68	8.78
8BA888E9-75C5-4903-97B4-43C26EAF921D	A 9	New	Used